City	of Londo	on: Projects Pro	ocedure Corporate	Risks Register															
Project Name: Unique project identifier:		roject Name:	60 Aldgate High Street S278			PM's overall risk rating:		Low	CRP requested this gateway	£ -		Average unmitigated risk						Open Risks	
		ject identifier:	12360			Total	estimated cost (exec risk):	£ 400,000		£ -		Average mitigated		12				Closed Risks 0	
General risk classification									Mitigation actions								Ownership	& Action	
Risk D	Gateway	Category	Description of the Risk	Risk Impact Description Likelihood Classification n pre- mitigation	Impact Classificatio n pre- mitigation		Costed impact pre- mitigation (£) requested Y/N	Confidence in the estimation	Mitigating actions	Mitigation cost (£)	Classifica on post-	Impact ti Classificati on post- mitigation		Post- C Mitigat to ion risk score		se of CRP	Date raised	Named Risk owner Date Departmental (Named Closed Risk Manager/ Officer or OR/ Coordinator External Party) Realised & moved to Issues	Comment(s)
1	2	(3) Reputation	GATE 1 to 5 - Delays or vacation of worksite due to external events and/ or occurrences	Should such an event happen, a number of possibilities could occur: Change in project scope: Change in project resources: Change in project delivery! Possible timescales: Pouse to project whilst situation is accessed *Increased costs	Minor	3	N	B – Fairly Confident	* Budget and programme slack to account for likely low impact events		Possible	Minor	20.03	3	£0.00	n/a	04/08/22	Tom Noble	
2	2	[1] Compliance/Reg	GATE 1 TO 6 - Issues or delays in any required consents such as Permits which cause delay to project delivery	permissions, IMOs, Permits,	Minor	3	N	A – Very Confident	*Map out the required consents with project team and continually monitor & update throughout the project "Schedule regular meetings with consent approvers, especially those with long lead in times or complex approval procedures.		Rare	Minor	£0.00	1	£0.00	n/a	04/08/22	Tom Nobile	
3	2	(3) Reputation	GATE 1 TO 6 - issue(s) with external engagement and buy-in lead to project delays/ increased costs	Further firme and therefore resource may be required if planned engagement work with local external stakeholders didn't go as planned.	Serious	4	N	A – Very Confident	* Early identification and engagement with key stakeholders.		Possible	Minor	£0.00	3	£0.00	n/a	04/08/22	Tom Noble	
1	2	(4) Contractual/Part nership	GATE 1 TO 6 - Project supplier delays, productivity or resource issues impacts negatively on project deliven	Alternative arrangements which require additional resource may be required if a Unlikely potential or existing supplier is unable to deliver as agreed.	Minor	2	N	B – Fairly Confident	* Arrange construction planning meeting with term contractor prior to construction to ensure that resources are available		Rare	Minor	£0.00	1	00.03	n/a	04/08/22	Tom Noble	
5	2	(2) Financial	GATE 1 TO 6 - Inaccurate or Incomplete project estimates including infalionary issues, leads to budget increases	If an estimate is found at a later date to be inaccurate or incompete, more funding incompete, more funding do the needed to nectify the issue of fund underwrite the shortfall. More specifically, inflationary amounts predetermined earlier in a project may be found to be insufficient and require earth afficient and require earth affinding to cover any shortfall.	Serious	6	N	B – Fairly Confident	* Monitor for scope creep * Regular catch-ups with Principal Contractor to review costs during construction.		Unlikely	Serious	20.03	4	£0.00	n/a	04/08/22	Tom Noble	
•	2	[10] Physical	GATE 1 TO 5 - Utility and utility survey issues lead to increased costs/ scope of works	At the earlier stages of a project, delays could a project, delays could occur which result unplanned costs if utility companier doubt a contract season of the contract resource would be needed if further surveys are required. During construction, any issues with required utility companies could result in extra resources being required.	Serious	6	N	B – Fairly Confident	* Work with design engineers to agree appropriate sum to cover utility delays or on-site discoveries.		Unlikely	Serious	£0.00	4	£0.00	n/a	04/08/22	Tom Noble	
	2	(4) Contractual/Part nership	GATE 1 TO 6 - Third party delays impacts negatively on project delivery (time & costs)	A CoL project may require a third party to complete its work before it can proceed. Should this work be delayed Possible in anyway, its likely to impact (time and cost-wise) on a project.	Minor	3	N	A – Very Confident	* Include regular meetings with the developer and local stakeholders * Include some slack in the programme to absorb low- level delays		Rare	Minor	20.00	1	£0.00	n/a	04/08/22	Tom Noble	
	4	(10) Physical	GATE 4 TO 6 - Network accessibility before and during construction which cause project delay and/ or increased costs	Should parts of the road network not be available or become unavailable during a project when planned for or required, expect delivery delays.	Minor	3	N	B – Fairly Confident	* Engage with the Traffic Management team at the appropriate point to both programme the works and to reserve the road space.		Unlikely	Minor	£0.00	2	£0.00	n/a	04/08/22	Tom Noble	
,	5	(10) Physical	GATE 5 - Unforeseen technical and/ or engineering issues identified	late identification of any engineering or technical issues that disrupt delivery could result in further costs whether they be time, funding or resources.	Minor	3	N	B – Fairly Confident	* Undertake standard BAU surveys * Consider trial holes if required * Site visits during development's construction		Rare	Minor	£0.00	1	£0.00	n/a	04/08/22	Tom Noble	
0	5	(3) Reputation	GATE 5 - Accident during construction impacts on project delivery and/ or costs	Regardless of whether it be a member of public or a contractor on site, should an accident occur in or around site delays are likely to occur	Serious	2	N	A – Very Confident	* Consider regular site visits with the Principal Designer should it become necessary.		Rare	Serious	£0.00	2	£0.00	n/a	04/08/22	Tom Noble	